

# A New Look Through the Glass Ceiling:



Where are  
the Women?

**The Status of Women in Management in Ten Selected Industries**

**January 2002**

# Executive Summary

---

This report provides a review of the status of women in management positions in ten selected industries. Representatives Dingell and Maloney asked the General Accounting Office to compile data from the Current Population Survey (CPS) to determine how women in management are faring today as compared with five years ago and to further understand the continuing impact of the glass ceiling on women's advancement to the top. While not an academic-level study, the CPS data give a point-in-time snapshot of women's status in management positions relative to men's.

Recently, a number of different organizations have issued reports on the status of women in specific career areas ranging from media to law to the financial services industry. However, this is the first such review that evaluates a large number of industries across a wide variety of areas in an effort to understand where women are progressing and where they are falling behind their male counterparts. While more study is needed, the data suggest possible trends that are cause for concern and merit more research.

Despite a sense of continued progress toward gender equality in the workplace, in ten industries employing 71 percent of U.S. women workers and 73 percent of U.S. women managers, the data show that women managers continue to lag behind their male counterparts in both advancement and pay.

**The majority of women managers were worse off, relative to men, in 2000 than they were in 1995.**

Perhaps even more startling, the data show that in 7 of the 10 industries, the wage gap between male and female managers actually widened between 1995 and 2000. In other words, the majority of women managers actually did better, relative to men, in 1995 than they did in 2000. Occurring as it did during a time of economic prosperity, this increased wage gap is particularly troubling.

The GAO data also show that women continue to be underrepresented in management. In *only* 5 of the 10 industries reviewed do women hold a share of management jobs proportionate to their share of the industry workforce. Thus, women's record presence in the work force is not matched by their presence in the more influential and economically advantageous positions.

In response to this continuing problem, Representatives Dingell and Maloney intend to continue to address the status of women in the workforce and the challenges they face in advancing their careers. In an effort to bring attention to this issue, the Representatives plan to work together in Congress to produce an annual review studying the status of women in management positions. It is imperative that a message be sent to American workers and employers alike that women deserve equality in the workplace.

The Offices of Representatives Dingell and Maloney would like to thank Dr. Martha Farnsworth Riche, former Director of the U.S. Census Bureau, for her assistance on this project.

# Key Findings

---

Despite a sense of continued progress toward gender equality in the workplace, in ten industries employing 71 percent of U.S. women workers and 73 percent of U.S. women managers:

- Full-time women managers earned less than their male counterparts in both 1995 and 2000.
- In seven of the ten industries, the earnings gap between full-time women and men managers actually widened between 1995 and 2000.
- Only five of the industries had women managers in the same proportion as women in the industry's work force in 2000.

# Purpose

---

Over the past year, a number of different organizations, including the Annenberg Public Policy Center, Catalyst, and the American Bar Association Commission on Women in the Profession, have published reports revealing the status of women in specific career areas ranging from media to law to the financial services industry. (For an annotated list, please see page 15 of this report.) The statistics are particularly concerning. For example, one of the reports found that while women make up 46.5% of the workforce,<sup>1</sup> they represent only 12% of all corporate officers.<sup>2</sup> The implication of this disparity is important to all women, at every job level, as evidence of equality must occur across the spectrum of job levels. Further, women entering the workforce should have every assurance that the same opportunities for com-

**While women make up 46.5 percent of the workforce, they represent only 12 percent of all corporate officers.**

pensation and advancement available to their male counterparts are equally open to them.

Acting on their concerns about the disparity of women's status relative to men's in the workplace, Representatives John D. Dingell (D-MI) and Carolyn B. Maloney (D-NY) requested the General Accounting Office (GAO) to analyze the Current Population Survey (CPS) for the years 1995 and 2000 to assess the situation of women managers in ten industries that employ over 70 percent of women workers. Though not an academic-level study, this point-in-time snapshot of women's status in management positions is the first of a series of reviews that evaluates a large number of industries in an effort to understand where women are progressing and where they are falling behind their male counterparts.

While more study is needed, the data suggest possible trends that are cause for concern and merit more research. Representatives Dingell and Maloney's goal is to further understanding of the position of women managers in the workforce, and to facilitate an ongoing dialogue in an effort to shatter the "glass ceiling."

## THE GLASS CEILING

The "glass ceiling" has come to mean an invisible, but virtually impenetrable, barrier between women and the executive suite, preventing them from reaching the highest levels of the corporate world regardless of their accomplishments and merits. The term refers to specific attitudinal and organizational barriers that severely limit opportunities for the upward mobility of qualified women candidates.<sup>3</sup>

**The goal of the Glass Ceiling Commission was to identify glass ceiling barriers and expand practices and policies which promote employment opportunities for the advancement of minorities and women in positions of responsibility.**

In response to this problem, the Civil Rights Act of 1991 established the Glass Ceiling Commission. The 21-member body was appointed by former President Bush and Congressional leaders and chaired by the Secretary of Labor. "Its goal was to identify glass ceiling barriers and expand practices and policies which promote employment opportunities for the advancement of minorities and women in positions of responsibility."<sup>4</sup> While the efforts of the Commission may have been felt and enjoyed by some, this report reveals that women are still struggling to jump the hurdles toward advancement.

## SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY OF GAO STUDY

Representatives Dingell and Maloney asked GAO to analyze data from the Current Population Survey (CPS)<sup>5</sup> for ten industries<sup>6</sup> that account for an overwhelming share of U.S. women employees (71.3 percent in 2000). Specifically, they requested information about:

- Key demographic characteristics of women and men in management positions.
- Representation of women in management positions.
- Salary differentials between women and men in full-time management positions.

The CPS has two important limitations for assessing women's progress into management. First, it lacks two important factors for determining salary levels: years of experience, and level of managerial responsibility. Second, since the data are self-reported by respondents, rather than by employers, there is an inherent degree of error. However, the value of the CPS for monitoring women's advancement is that it contains demographic data that previous research has found correlated with management status for women, including age, race, educational attainment, and family and living arrangements.

## ANALYSIS

Recent government data reports have found continued progress for women in the work place.<sup>7</sup>

Important gains include:

- The wage gap between women and men full-time wage and salary workers declined to 76 percent in 2000 from 63 percent in 1979, the first year that comparable data became available.
- Women's earnings now peak at ages 45-54, just as men's do, as women are now common in the work force at all ages. (Still, the wage gap for older women is much greater than it is for younger women.)


However, the data also show that women managers are not gaining to the same extent as women workers.

## Were Women Managers Better Off in 1995 Than in 2000?

In both 1995 and 2000, women managers earned less than their male counterparts in all ten industries studied. In seven of the ten industries, women managers' salaries actually declined relative to men managers' between 1995 and 2000. For example, in 1995 a full-time female manager in the communications industry earned \$.86 for every \$1.00 earned by a full-time male manager. Five years later, a full-time female manager in the same industry earned \$.73 for every \$1.00 earned by a full-time male manager.

### Salary Differentials, Full-Time Managers

Full-time Female Manager Earnings for Every \$1.00 Earned by Male Full-time Managers





These seven industries are largely private sector industries. In contrast, the three industries where the salary gap narrowed are in the public sector, or are heavily regulated: education, hospitals and medical services, and public administration.

This persistent and, in the private sector, widening pay gap is not due to factors commonly associated with managerial capabilities, interest, or simple discrimination: i.e., education, age, marital status, or race. The GAO analysis controlled for these factors.<sup>8</sup> Moreover, the proportion of management positions in these industries filled by women was the same—48 percent—in both years.


**In *only* five of the ten industries studied do women hold a share of management jobs proportionate to their share of the industry work force.**

Clearly, more detailed research is called for to understand whether and how women's advancement is stalled. For instance, has an influx of new, younger women managers obscured gains for older, experienced women managers? Did the broad definition of managers used by the GAO obscure shifts to lower-paid, lower status managerial and administrative positions? Did restructuring within or across industries eliminate managerial positions that women tend to hold at the expense of positions men tend to hold? Certainly research elsewhere suggests that when jobs in a given industry become more technical, the work force, including low-level managers, tends to shift from women to men.

## **“His” and “Her” Jobs**

The GAO data show that in *only* five of the ten industries studied do women hold a share of management jobs proportionate to their share of the industry work force. These were the five industries in which women make up 50 percent or less of the industry work force.

## Representation of Women in Management, 2000



Women and men managers tend to work in different occupations and industries, according to the Bureau of Labor Statistics. For example, personnel or human relations managers are more likely to be women, while purchasing managers are more likely to be men. Managers in marketing, advertising, and public relations are more likely to be men; administrators in education and related fields are more likely to be women.

**The Bureau of Labor Statistics found that in 2000 the pay gap among all working women and men was widest among parents.**

Studies show that women often select industries and occupations that enable them to combine work and family responsibilities, and that jobs where women predominate generally pay less than jobs where men predominate. And in some industries, while women may hold “management” titles, their positions are “often [in] less strategic, lower-paying areas of the company’s operations.”<sup>9</sup>

For example, the GAO analysis found that female managers in finance are 50 percent less likely to have a college degree than male managers in the same industry. They also make only \$.76 for every male manager dollar. Even though these women are labeled “managers,” the data suggest that they are in positions that require less education and are lower paying.

The GAO also found that the only one of the industries studied where women account for a greater number of managers than men is one in which management jobs have relatively lower status: professional medical services. In this industry, status and pay are highest for professional occupations, not for managers and administrators, more than three-fourths of whom are women. (This is also the only one of the ten industries in which women’s representation in management exceeds their representation in the industry as a whole.) The educational disadvantage of female managers relative to male managers in this industry is particularly great, suggesting that here, too, the nature of women managers’ jobs is different.

**The only one of the industries studied where women account for a greater number of managers than men is one in which management jobs have relatively lower status: professional medical services.**

Moreover, occupational segregation produces different levels of management and different management tracks, including tracks that lead nowhere. Even women who are on the “right” track must be monitored to reveal how long it takes to get to senior management positions, if at all. As we note in the next section, advancement is often constrained by the time women (rarely men) take out of the workforce to raise their family.

## The Balancing Game: Career and Family

Overall, the Bureau of Labor Statistics found that in 2000 the pay gap among all working women and men was widest among parents.<sup>10</sup> And although both men and women managers need to reconcile work with family, the CPS data suggest that combining parenthood with advancement into management is particularly difficult for women. Just looking at married managers (those most likely to be sharing their parenting responsibilities), nearly 60 percent of male managers in these ten industries have children in the home, compared to little more than 40 percent of female managers (working full- or part-time). Over all industries, over two-thirds of married male managers are raising children, compared to less than a third of married women managers. This discrepancy suggests that difficulties in combining family and work are a significant constraint on women's attack on the glass ceiling.

**Combining work with parenting is a challenge for all women...across all industries, nearly 60 percent of women managers do not currently have children in the home.**

The Census Bureau reports that women have become less likely to interrupt their work schedules when their first child is born, and that “women today are making longer-term commitments to the labor force.”<sup>11</sup> Yet combining work with parenting is a challenge for all women, given their disproportionate responsibilities at home, particularly in relation to children. Not surprisingly, there are considerably fewer women managers with parenting responsibilities than without, regardless of marital status. According to the CPS data, across all industries, nearly 60 percent of women managers do not currently have children in the home. Among the 10 industries covered by this report, the proportion ranges from 55 percent (in professional medical services) to 69 percent (in entertainment). It requires further research to identify the extent to which women enter management *after* they have raised a family—and therefore at older ages—or the extent to which some delay having a family to advance their careers, so long that they forego children altogether.

Time-use data consistently show that women work longer hours (paid plus unpaid) than men work and perform the majority of the unpaid household work.<sup>12</sup> Part-time employment can reduce this load, and the GAO found that women managers are more likely to work part-time than their male counterparts. But working part-time can also make a women's advancement more difficult. Part-time workers tend to be seen as secondary employees and therefore not as important or crucial to a company's worth or growth. They are also more likely to be cut off from "networking" as they are not in the office as often as their full-time colleagues. Yet a separate tabulation of the 2000 CPS found that women with children under age 18 in the home (married, or with a partner) have the highest rates of part-time employment by far.

**Time-use data consistently show that women work longer hours (paid plus unpaid) than men work and perform the majority of the unpaid household work.**

While many women have successfully pushed for the opportunity of working part-time to balance work and family, this schedule has many potential negative implications for women. These include: ineligibility for healthcare, continued need to pay for childcare (often equaling the salary of a part-time employee), and a reduction in their eventual Social Security benefits. A more comprehensive study is needed to better understand the "penalties" associated with working part-time, along with other strategies women use to maintain a career while simultaneously bearing the brunt of family care.

# Conclusion

---

While there is some indication that women managers are doing better than they were in the past, the glass ceiling seems to be firmly in place. Though not an academic-level study, this point-in-time snapshot of women's status in management positions is the first of a series of reviews that evaluates a large number of industries in an effort to understand where women are progressing and where they are falling behind their male counterparts. Our next step is to analyze the more detailed data from the 2000 Census, which will be released later this year. GAO's tabulations of this data will allow us to look at women manager's progress (or lack thereof) more closely and precisely. We are hopeful that this new, proposed study will help us determine ways in which we can prompt better practices, remove attitudinal barriers, and help women shatter the glass ceiling.

---

<sup>1</sup> <http://www.bls.gov/cps/cpsaat9.pdf>

<sup>2</sup> [http://www.catalystwomen.org/Press\\_Room/press\\_releases/1999\\_cote.htm](http://www.catalystwomen.org/Press_Room/press_releases/1999_cote.htm)

<sup>3</sup> For a description of these barriers, see "The Unfinished Agenda: Women and the Legal Profession," American Bar Association, 2001, 6-8.

<sup>4</sup> See: [http://www.ilr.cornell.edu/library/e\\_archive/gov\\_reports/GlassCeiling/default.html](http://www.ilr.cornell.edu/library/e_archive/gov_reports/GlassCeiling/default.html)

<sup>5</sup> Current Population Survey (CPS) refers to the "monthly nationwide survey of a scientifically selected sample representing the noninstitutional civilian population." U.S. Census Bureau, "Statistical Abstract of the United States: The National Data Book" (1), 120th edition, 2000.

<sup>6</sup> The ten industries included: 1. Communications; 2. Public Administration; 3. Business and Repair Services; 4. Entertainment and Recreation Services; 5. Other Professional Services; 6. Educational Services; 7. Retail Trade; 8. Finance, Insurance, and Real Estate; 9. Hospitals and Medical Services; and 10. Professional Medical Services (see Appendix p.5).

<sup>7</sup> U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. "Highlights of Women's Earnings in 2000." Report 952, August 2001.

<sup>8</sup> See Appendix, GAO Report, 6.

<sup>9</sup> See Wirth, *Breaking Through the Glass Ceiling*, 57.

<sup>10</sup> U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. "Highlights of Women's Earnings in 2000." Report 952, August 2001.

<sup>11</sup> U.S. Census Bureau. "Maternity Leave and Employment Patterns, 1961-1995."

<sup>12</sup> See Crittenden, *The Price of Motherhood*, 22.

# Sources

---

Crittenden, Ann. *The Price of Motherhood*. New York: Henry Holt and Company, LLC, 2001.

Rhode, Deborah L. "The Unfinished Agenda: Women and the Legal Profession." American Bar Association Commission on Women and the Profession. Chicago, 2001. (Copies are available at: [www.abanet.org/women](http://www.abanet.org/women))

U.S. Census Bureau. *Statistical Abstract of the United States: The National Data Book*. Washington, D.C., 2000.

U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. "Highlights of Women's Earnings in 2000." Report 952, August 2001.

U.S. Census Bureau. "Maternity Leave and Employment Patterns, 1961-1995."

Wirth, Linda. *Breaking Through the Glass Ceiling: Women in Management*. Geneva: International Labour Organization, 2001.

[http://www.uri.edu/affirmative\\_action/glass\\_ceiling\\_gen\\_info.htm](http://www.uri.edu/affirmative_action/glass_ceiling_gen_info.htm)

<http://www.ilo.org/public/english/dialogue/sector/techmeet/tmwm97/tmwm-int.htm>

# Recent Reports

---

Recently released reports on the status of women in selected industries:

*Jobs Survey of U.S. Life Scientists.* American Association for the Advancement of Science. Washington, DC, October 2001 (<http://www.sciencecareers.org>)

Found that women scientists earn nearly one-third less than their male counterparts.

Rhode, Deborah L. "The Unfinished Agenda: Women and the Legal Profession." American Bar Association Commission on Women and the Profession. Chicago, 2001.

(<http://www.abanet.org/women/home.html>)

Found that despite substantial progress toward equal opportunity, women in law remain underrepresented in positions of greatest status, influence and economic reward.

Sheree L. Beverly. "2000 House Staff Employment Study." Congressional Management Foundation, Washington, DC, 2000. (<http://www.cmfweb.org/>)

Found that males hold a disproportionate share of executive and policy positions, while females hold a disproportionate share of mid-level and support positions. Moreover, males tend to earn higher salaries than females in the chief of staff position and the press secretary position.

Slass, Lorie. "Progress or No Room at the Top? The Role of Women in Telecommunications, Broadcast, Cable, and E-Companies." Annenberg Public Policy Center, Philadelphia, March 2001.

(<http://www.appcpenn.org/women/>)

Found that women account for only 9 percent of the board members in major media, telecom and e-companies, and only 13 percent of the top executives in those companies.

*Who's Talking? An Analysis of Sunday Morning Talk Shows.* The White House Project, New York, December 2001. (<http://www.thewhitehouseproject.org>)

Found that women are seriously underrepresented as guests on the Sunday morning talk shows, limiting their access to the power of agenda-setting television programs in shaping elite and public opinion.

*Women in Financial Services: The Word on the Street.* Catalyst, New York, July 2001.

(<http://www.catalystwomen.org>)

Found that large majorities of women cite several barriers to women's advancement in their firms, whereas most men cite only women's commitment to family or lack of experience as barriers.



# Appendix

---

*Women in Management: U.S. General Accounting Office Analysis of Selected Data From the Current Population Survey, October 2001.*

October 2001

# WOMEN IN MANAGEMENT

## Analysis of Selected Data From the Current Population Survey



---

# Contents

---

<b>Letter</b>		<b>1</b>
<b>Appendix I</b>	<b>Congressional Briefing Slides: Women in Management</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Appendix II</b>	<b>GAO Contact and Staff Acknowledgments</b>	<b>23</b>
	GAO Contact	23
	Staff Acknowledgments	23



United States General Accounting Office  
Washington, DC 20548

October 23, 2001

The Honorable Carolyn B. Maloney  
The Honorable John D. Dingell  
House of Representatives

Because of your interest in the challenges women face in advancing their careers, you asked that we obtain information concerning: (1) key characteristics of women and men in management positions; (2) representation of women in management positions compared to their representation in all positions within particular industries, and (3) salary differentials between women and men in full-time management positions.

To address your request, we obtained and analyzed data from the Department of Labor's Current Population Survey (CPS) 1995 and 2000 March supplement.<sup>1</sup> Our analysis was focused on 10 broad industrial classifications. We defined "managers" as all occupational titles within CPS that included the words administrator, director, manager, or supervisor. These 39 occupational titles included a broad range of positions—for example, general administrators, managers of food or lodging establishments, and sales supervisors. The underlying source data have several limitations that need to be considered when reviewing our findings. For example, CPS does not provide information on years of experience or level of managerial responsibility, two factors that are important when determining salary levels. As a result, our analysis of salary differences between male and female full-time managers cannot account for all possible causes of salary differences. Also, because CPS is self-reported, information on demographic factors, occupation, and income is subject to human error and cannot be independently validated. We conducted our work from August to October 2001 in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards.

On September 26, 2001, we briefed you on the results of our analysis. This report formally conveys the information provided during that briefing (see app. I). In summary, we found:

---

<sup>1</sup> The CPS is a monthly survey of about 50,000 households that obtains key labor force data, such as employment, wages and occupations. The current CPS sample is drawn from the 1990 Decennial Census. In March of each year, the CPS obtains additional information during its supplement, such as educational attainment. The data collected in March of 2000 represent labor force data for 1999.

- 
- Female managers in most of the 10 industries examined had less education, were younger, were more likely to work part-time, and were less likely to be married than male managers, according to the March 2000 CPS.
  - There was no statistically significant difference between the percent of management positions filled by women and the percent of all positions filled by women for five of the 10 industries we examined. Statistically significant differences did exist in the other five industries. In 4 of these, women were less represented in management positions than they were in all positions. In 1 of these industries, women were more prevalent in management positions than they were in all positions. Generally, these relationships were the same in 1995 and 2000.
  - In 1995 and 2000, full-time female managers earned less than full-time male managers, after controlling for education, age, marital status and race.

---

As arranged with your offices, unless you publicly announce its contents earlier, we plan no further distribution of this report until 30 days after its release. At that time, we will make copies available to others upon request. If you or your staffs have any questions about this report, please contact me on (202) 512-7215. Other contacts and acknowledgments are listed in appendix II.



Robert E. Robertson  
Director, Education, Workforce,  
And Income Security Issues

---

# Appendix I: Congressional Briefing Slides: Women in Management

---



---

**Briefing for Congressional Requestors  
September 26, 2001**

---

## **WOMEN IN MANAGEMENT:**

### **Analysis of Selected Data From the Current Population Survey**

---



---

## **OBJECTIVES**

---

**You asked that we analyze data from the Current Population Survey (CPS) to identify:**

- **Key characteristics of women and men in management positions;**
  - **Representation of women in management positions; and**
  - **Salary differentials between women and men in full-time management positions.**
-



---

## **SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY**

---

**As requested, we focused on 10 industries:**

- 1. Communications**
  - 2. Public Administration**
  - 3. Business and Repair Services**
  - 4. Entertainment and Recreation Services**
  - 5. Other Professional Services**
  - 6. Educational Services**
  - 7. Retail Trade**
  - 8. Finance, Insurance, and Real Estate**
  - 9. Hospitals and Medical Services**
  - 10. Professional Medical Services**
-





---

## SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY

---

### We used March 1995 and 2000 CPS data:

- CPS data on the civilian labor force are collected through monthly surveys, and an annual March supplement, from about 50,000 households selected from 1990 Decennial Census files.
  - We defined “managers” as CPS occupations titled administrator, manager, supervisor, or director.
  - We conducted statistical analysis, controlling for education, age, marital status, and race, to identify differences in male and female full-time manager salaries.
-



---

## SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY

---

### Limitations of CPS data:

- **CPS March supplement lacks data on years of experience or degree of managerial responsibility, two factors important for determining salary levels.**
  - **CPS data are self-reported by respondents.**
-



---

## **SUMMARY RESULTS:**


### **Characteristics of Managers, 2000**

---

- **For most industries in 2000, female managers:**
    - **have less education;**
    - **are younger;**
    - **are more likely to work part-time; and**
    - **are less likely to be married than men who are managers.**
  - **There appears to be little difference in racial composition between male and female managers in most of the industries.**
-



## Characteristics of Managers: College Degree or Greater, 2000






## Characteristics of Managers: Average Age, 2000

<u>Industry</u>	<u>Average Age</u>	
	<u>Male Managers</u>	<u>Female Managers</u>
Communications	42.9	40.4
Public Administration	47.7	45.6
Business and Repair Services	40.6	38.3
Entertainment and Recreation Services	39.8	38.4
Other Professional Services	44.5	39.8
Educational Services	46.8	44.3
Retail Trade	38.9	37.3
Finance, Insurance and Real Estate	45.1	41.1
Hospitals and Medical Services	43.7	44.5
Professional Medical Services	42.7	41.6




## Characteristics of Managers: Part-time Schedule, 2000





## Characteristics of Managers: Married, 2000





---

## **SUMMARY RESULTS:**

### **Representation of Women in Management**

---

**In 2000, 5 industries had no statistically significant difference between the percent of management positions filled by women and the percent of all industry positions filled by women:**

- **Communications**
  - **Public Administration**
  - **Business and Repair Services**
  - **Entertainment and Recreation Services**
  - **Other Professional Services**
-






## **Representation of Women in Management**

**In 2000, 5 industries had a statistically significant difference between the percent of management positions filled by women and the percent of all positions in the industry filled by women:**

- **Educational Services**
- **Retail Trade**
- **Finance, Insurance and Real Estate**
- **Hospitals and Medical Services**
- **Professional Medical Services**



## Representation of Women in Management, 2000





## Representation of Women in Management, 2000

Industry	Positions Filled by Women		
	All (%)	Management (%)	Percentage Point Difference
Communications	40.9	39.4	1.5
Public Administration	45.1	44	1.1
Business and Repair Services	38.3	33.5	4.8
Entertainment and Recreational Services	41	37	4
Other Professional Services	50.1	50.8	(.7)
Educational Services*	70.6	61.1	9.5
Retail Trade*	52.7	45.8	6.9
Finance, Insurance and Real Estate*	61.1	52.8	8.3
Hospitals and Medical Services*	79.5	65.9	13.6
Professional Medical Services*	79.8	90.2	(10.4)

\*indicates a statistically significant difference



## **Representation of Women in Management**

**1995 data on representation of female managers was similar to the 2000 data for all industries except for Entertainment and Recreational Services, where:**

- In 1995, women were less represented in management positions than they were in all positions.**
- In 2000, there was no statistically significant difference between the two.**



---

## **SUMMARY RESULTS: Salary Differentials, Full-time Managers**

---

**Controlling for education, age, marital status,  
and race, we found that:**

**In 1995 and 2000, full-time female managers  
in each of the 10 industries earned less than  
male full-time managers.**

---



## Salary Differentials, Full-time Managers

<u>Industry</u>	Full-time Female Manager Earnings for Every \$1.00 Earned by Male Full-time Managers	
	1995	2000
Communications	\$ .86	\$ .73
Public Administration	\$ .80	\$ .83
Business and Repair Services	\$ .82	\$ .76
Entertainment and Recreation Services	\$ .83	\$ .62
Other Professional Services	\$ .88	\$ .83
Educational Services	\$ .86	\$ .91
Retail Trade	\$ .69	\$ .65
Finance, Insurance and Real Estate	\$ .76	\$ .68
Hospitals and Medical Services	\$ .80	\$ .85
Professional Medical Services	\$ .90	\$ .88



---

## Salary Differentials, Full-time Managers

---

- Differences in salary levels between 1995 and 2000 should not necessarily be seen as a trend because salary levels in either of these two years could represent a temporary fluctuation.
  - More extensive analysis over an extended period of time would be needed to determine trends in differences between male and female full-time manager salaries.
-



## STANDARD INDUSTRIAL CODES AND DESCRIPTIONS

- **Communications (440-449):** Includes radio and television broadcasting, telephone communications, telegraph.
- **Public Administration (900-990):** Includes executive and legislative offices, general government, public finance.
- **Business and Repair Services (721-760):** Includes advertising, personnel supply services, computer and data processing services, automotive rental, electrical repair shops.
- **Entertainment and Recreation Services (800-810):** Includes theaters, motion pictures, videotape rental, bowling centers, recreation services.
- **Other Professional Services (841, 872-893):** Includes legal services, accounting and bookkeeping services, management services.





## **STANDARD INDUSTRIAL CODES AND DESCRIPTIONS (con't.)**

- **Educational Services (842-860):** Includes elementary and secondary schools, colleges and universities, vocational schools, libraries.
- **Retail Trade (580-699):** Includes retail stores, catalog and mail order houses, gas stations, vending machine operators.
- **Finance, Insurance and Real Estate (700-720):** Includes banking, savings institutions, credit agencies, security and commodity companies, insurance, real estate.
- **Hospitals and Medical Services (831-840):** Includes hospitals, nursing facilities, health services.
- **Professional Medical Services (except hospitals) (812-830):** Includes clinics and offices of physicians, dentists, optometrists, chiropractors.

---

# Appendix II: GAO Contact and Staff Acknowledgments

---

## GAO Contact

Lori Rectanus, (202) 512-7215

---

## Staff Acknowledgments

Wendy Ahmed, Paula Bonin, Gretta Goodwin, Douglas Sloane, and John G. Smale, Jr. provided extensive computer and data analysis support.



---

## GAO's Mission

The General Accounting Office, the investigative arm of Congress, exists to support Congress in meeting its constitutional responsibilities and to help improve the performance and accountability of the federal government for the American people. GAO examines the use of public funds; evaluates federal programs and policies; and provides analyses, recommendations, and other assistance to help Congress make informed oversight, policy, and funding decisions. GAO's commitment to good government is reflected in its core values of accountability, integrity, and reliability.

---

## Obtaining Copies of GAO Reports and Testimony

The fastest and easiest way to obtain copies of GAO documents is through the Internet. GAO's Web site ([www.gao.gov](http://www.gao.gov)) contains abstracts and full-text files of current reports and testimony and an expanding archive of older products. The Web site features a search engine to help you locate documents using key words and phrases. You can print these documents in their entirety, including charts and other graphics.

Each day, GAO issues a list of newly released reports, testimony, and correspondence. GAO posts this list, known as "Today's Reports," on its Web site daily. The list contains links to the full-text document files. To have GAO E-mail this list to you every afternoon, go to our home page and complete the easy-to-use electronic order form found under "To Order GAO Products."

---

## Order by Mail or Phone

The first copy of each printed report is free. Additional copies are \$2 each. A check or money order should be made out to the Superintendent of Documents. GAO also accepts VISA and Mastercard. Orders for 100 or more copies mailed to a single address are discounted 25 percent. Orders should be sent to:

U.S. General Accounting Office  
P.O. Box 37050  
Washington, D.C. 20013

To order by phone:   Voice:   (202) 512-6000  
                              TDD:    (301) 413-0006  
                              Fax:     (202) 258-4066

---

## Visit GAO's Document Distribution Center

GAO Building  
Room 1100, 700 4th Street, NW (corner of 4th and G Streets, NW)  
Washington, D.C. 20013

---

## To Report Fraud, Waste, and Abuse in Federal Programs

Contact:

Web site: [www.gao.gov/fraudnet/fraudnet.htm](http://www.gao.gov/fraudnet/fraudnet.htm),  
E-mail: [fraudnet@gao.gov](mailto:fraudnet@gao.gov), or  
1-800-424-5454 (automated answering system).

---

## Public Affairs

Jeff Nelligan, Managing Director, [NelliganJ@gao.gov](mailto:NelliganJ@gao.gov) (202) 512-4800  
U.S. General Accounting Office, 441 G. Street NW, Room 7149,  
Washington, D.C. 20548