

Homeland Security Funding Formulas and Their Effects on New York

(Prepared by the office of Congresswoman Maloney)

1) Bush Administration Balloons High-Threat Grant Program from 7 Cities to 80 Entities, Resulting in Steep Drop in Aid to NYC

Pages 2 - 6

2) Bush Administration Fails to Use Discretionary Power Over 60% of Homeland Grants to Strengthen Aid to NYC, While Congress Wrongly Requires the Other 40% Be Spread Evenly Among the States, With No Consideration of Threat Level

Pages 7 - 12

3) After 9/11, Bush Administration Decides to Reduce from 15% to 5%, the Rate of Hazard Mitigation Aid New York is to Receive for Security Enhancements - Resulting in Loss of Aid to New York City in Hundreds of Millions of Dollars

Pages 13 - 19

4) Congresswoman Carolyn B. Maloney Press Releases on Homeland Security Funding

Page 20

Homeland Security Funding Formulas and Their Effects on New York

(Prepared by the office of Congresswoman Maloney)

Below find information regarding the homeland security funding formulas for New York City (through the Urban Area Security Initiative) and the State of New York (through the State Homeland Security Grant Program). Additionally, there is information regarding the Hazardous Mitigation funding program given to localities following declared disasters.

1) Bush Administration Balloons High-Threat Grant Program from 7 Cities to 80 Entities, Resulting in Steep Drop in Aid to NYC

2004 Funding Distribution for Urban Area Security Initiative (High Threat Funding)

<http://www.dhs.gov/dhspublic/display?content=3009>


[Home](#) · [Site Map](#) · [Contact Us](#)

 Search:


DHS Organization	Emergencies & Disasters	Travel & Transportation	Immigration & Borders	Research & Technology	Threats & Protection	Working with DHS	Press Room
----------------------------------	---	---	---	---	--	----------------------------------	----------------------------

Printable Version

Press Room

[▶ From Secretary Ridge](#)
[▶ Press Releases](#)
[▶ Speeches & Statements](#)
[▶ Testimony](#)
[▶ Legislation](#)
[▶ Press Kit](#)
[▶ Freedom of Information Act](#)
[▶ Library](#)

NEWS BY DATE

[▶ May 2004](#)
[▶ April 2004](#)
[▶ March 2004](#)
[▶ February 2004](#)
[▶ January 2004](#)
[▶ December 2003](#)
[▶ November 2003](#)
[▶ October 2003](#)
[▶ September 2003](#)
[▶ August 2003](#)
[▶ July 2003](#)
[▶ June 2003](#)
[▶ Archive](#)

Press Room

Press Releases

Securing the Homeland: Helping Our State and Local First Responders and First Preventers

For Immediate Release
Office of the Press Secretary
November 13, 2003

The Department of Homeland Security is pleased to announce an additional \$725 million dollars from the FY '04 Budget for the Urban Area Security Initiative (UASI), for grants to urban areas within the United States to help enhance their overall security and preparedness level to prevent, respond and recover from acts of terrorism. These funds are being made available in addition to the nearly \$800 million that the Department's Office for Domestic Preparedness awarded during FY '03 specifically for urban areas.

"The Department of Homeland Security is pleased to be able to build upon the Administration's vision to enhance security capabilities from the ground up and to form strong regional partnerships to create a foundation of shared leadership and shared responsibility," said Secretary of Homeland Security Tom Ridge. "The funds will go to the designated states, which will then work with counties and cities to form regions that will work together through mutual aid agreements, interoperable communications, statewide intelligence centers and community and citizen participation. Our goal is to ensure that all of these necessary elements are communicating and coordinating to prevent a crisis and to be ready if one occurs."

\$675 Million in Formula Grants to Urban Areas

As part of the Administration's commitment to ensuring that Homeland Security funding is directed to the areas with greater security needs, \$675 million will be allocated in the form of grants through the states to urban areas selected by the Department of Homeland Security to enhance their overall security and preparedness level to prevent, respond and recover from acts of terrorism. The urban areas are chosen based on a formula that takes into account factors including critical infrastructure, population density and credible threat information. Funding allocations among the cities, contiguous counties and mutual aid partners will be based on an urban area assessment and strategic plan. Eighty percent of the funds allocated to the state under this program must be awarded to the designated cities and contiguous counties within the urban area based on the strategic plan. The state may use the remaining twenty percent for further security enhancements within the urban area.

\$50 Million for Metropolitan Rail Transit Authorities

Fifty million has been allocated in the form of grants through the States for mass transit

security agencies across the country to help the agencies enhance the security of its assets and passengers. These transit systems were determined based upon the number of annual riders and overall track mileage. Allowable uses of funds would include installation of physical barricades, area monitoring systems such as; video surveillance, motion detectors, thermal/IR imagery and chemical/radiological material detection systems, integrated communications systems and prevention planning, training and exercises. If not already completed, each transit system would be required to conduct an assessment and preparedness plan on which to base resource allocations.

[Urban Area Security Initiative: FY'04 Allocations](#)

URBAN AREA SECURITY INITIATIVE: FY '04 ALLOCATIONS

Source: Department of Homeland Security

\$675 Million for Urban Areas

1.	New York, NY	\$47,007,064
2.	Chicago, IL	\$34,142,222
3.	Washington/NCR	\$29,301,502
4.	Los Angeles, CA	\$28,268,504
5.	San Francisco, CA	\$26,481,275
6.	Philadelphia, PA	\$23,078,759
7.	Houston, TX	\$19,955,485
8.	Miami, FL	\$19,146,642
9.	Boston, MA	\$19,131,723
10.	Jersey City, NJ	\$17,112,311
11.	Seattle, WA	\$16,516,007
12.	Baltimore, MD	\$15,918,745
13.	Santa Ana, CA	\$15,058,528
14.	Newark, NJ	\$15,054,101
15.	Detroit, MI	\$13,754,597
16.	Kansas City, MO	\$13,295,646
17.	Cincinnati, OH	\$12,751,270
18.	Minneapolis, MN	\$12,280,337
19.	Phoenix, AZ	\$12,200,204
20.	Dallas, TX	\$12,198,661
21.	Long Beach, CA	\$12,136,091
22.	Pittsburgh, PA	\$11,978,479
23.	St. Louis, MO	\$10,785,053
24.	Atlanta, GA	\$10,744,248
25.	Las Vegas, NV	\$10,531,025
26.	San Diego, CA	\$10,479,947
27.	Cleveland, OH	\$10,460,465
28.	Anaheim, CA	\$10,345,691
29.	Milwaukee, WI	\$10,177,999
30.	Indianapolis, IN	\$10,151,880
31.	Buffalo, NY	\$10,095,856
32.	Memphis, TN	\$10,067,477
33.	San Jose, CA	\$9,982,442
34.	New Haven, CT	\$9,632,961
35.	Tampa, FL	\$9,275,359
36.	Louisville, KY	\$8,987,662
37.	Orlando, FL	\$8,765,211
38.	Columbus, OH	\$8,707,544
39.	Denver, CO	\$8,646,361
40.	Portland, OR	\$8,161,143
41.	Sacramento, CA	\$8,024,926
42.	Oakland, CA	\$7,854,691
43.	St. Paul, MN	\$7,827,910
44.	Charlotte, NC	\$7,404,955
45.	Baton Rouge, LA	\$7,193,806
46.	New Orleans, LA	\$7,152,827
47.	Fresno, CA	\$7,076,396
48.	Albany, NY	\$6,853,481
49.	Richmond, VA	\$6,543,378
50.	San Antonio, TX	\$6,301,153

\$50 Million for Metro Rail Transit Grants

51.	NY – MTA – NYC Transit	\$10,000,000.00
-----	------------------------	-----------------

52.	Chicago – METRA	\$3,034,969.91
53.	Washington, D.C. – WMATA	\$2,809,312.95
54.	New York – LIRR	\$2,732,103.14
55.	New York – Metro-North	\$2,695,327.39
56.	Chicago Transit Authority	\$2,484,059.46
57.	Boston – MBTA	\$2,122,121.24
58.	Los Angeles – Metrolink	\$1,982,809.18
59.	San Francisco – SF Bay Area Rapid Transit District	\$1,622,456.51
60.	Boston, MA – Mass. Transportation Bay Authority	\$1,604,437.27
61.	Philadelphia – SEPTA	\$1,594,967.82
62.	Philadelphia – SEPTA Subway	\$1,530,683.33
63.	Atlanta – Metropolitan Atlanta Rapid Transit	\$1,491,848.08
64.	NY – Port Authority of NY/NJ	\$1,257,150.82
65.	Maryland – MARC	\$1,037,752.88
66.	Northern Indiana Commuter Trans District- NICTD	\$800,000.00
67.	San Francisco – Caltrain	\$800,000.00
68.	Virginia – VRE	\$800,000.00
69.	San Jose, CA – ACE	\$800,000.00
70.	Los Angeles – LA County Metro Transportation	\$800,000.00
71.	Miami – Tri-Rail	\$800,000.00
72.	Miami – Miami – Dade Transit Agency	\$800,000.00
73.	New Haven – Shoreline East	\$800,000.00
74.	Maryland DOT – Mass Transit Administration	\$800,000.00
75.	San Diego – Coaster	\$800,000.00
76.	Seattle – Sound Transit	\$800,000.00
77.	Philadelphia – NJ – PATCO	\$800,000.00
78.	Cleveland – Greater Cleveland Regional Transit	\$800,000.00
79.	Dallas – Trinity Railway Express	\$800,000.00
80.	MY – MTA – Staten Island Railway	\$800,000.00

Excerpt from the Appendix of the President's FY2005 Budget

This excerpt appears on page 470 of the Appendix to the President's FY2005. This is the only proposed change that the Administration has made to changing the formula in Section 1014 of PL 107-56, The USA Patriot Act.

<http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/budget/fy2005/pdf/appendix/dhs.pdf>

Note: “[]” indicate current funding levels and language. Sums and language outside of brackets are the proposed changes.

STATE AND LOCAL PROGRAMS

For grants, contracts, cooperative agreements, and other activities, including grants to State and local governments for terrorism prevention activities, notwithstanding any other provision of law, [\$3,287,000,000] \$3,061,255,000, which shall be allocated as follows:

(1) [\$1,700,000,000] \$750,000,000 for formula-based grants and \$500,000,000 for law enforcement terrorism prevention grants [pursuant to section 1014 of the USA PATRIOT Act of 2001 (4 U.S.C. 3714)], *to be allocated among the states based on population concentrations, critical infrastructures, and other significant terrorism risk factors, as determined by the Secretary of Homeland Security: Provided*, That no funds shall be made available to any State prior to the submission of an updated State plan to the Office for Domestic Preparedness: *Provided further*, That the application for grants shall be made available to states within [30] 45 days after enactment of this Act[; that States shall submit applications within 30 days after the grant announcement; and that the Office for Domestic Preparedness shall act within 15 days after receipt of an application or receipt of an updated State plan, whichever is later]: *Provided further*, That each State shall obligate not less than 80 percent of the total amount of the grant to local governments within 60 days after the grant award; [and]

(2) [\$725,000,000] \$1,451,600,000 for discretionary grants for use in high-threat, high-density urban areas, as determined by the secretary of Homeland Security: *Provided*, That no less than 80 percent of any grant to a State shall be made available by the State to local governments within 60 days after their receipt of the funds [: *Provided further*, That section 1014(c)(3) of the USA PATRIOT Act of 2001 (42 U.S.C. 3714(c)(3)) shall not apply to these grants.];

(3) \$170,000,000 for emergency management performance grants with priority for homeland security activities: *Provided*, that total administrative cost of such grants shall not exceed 3 percent of this amount, and no more than 25 percent of any grant may be used for personnel salaries; and

(4) \$189,655,000 for training, exercises, technical assistance, and program management and administration: *Provided*, That none of the [funds appropriated] grants provided under this heading shall be used for the construction or renovation of facilities, and grantees shall provide reports on their use of funds, as deemed necessary by the Secretary of Homeland Security: *Provided further*, That not to exceed ten percent of funds appropriated for law enforcement terrorism prevention grants under paragraph (1) and discretionary grants under paragraph (2) of

this heading shall be available for operational costs, to include personnel overtime and overtime associated with Office for Domestic Preparedness certified training, as needed.

Program and Financing (in millions of dollars)

	2003 Actual	2004 est.	2005 est.
Basic Formula Grants.....	2,017	1,726	700
Urban Area Security Initiative.....	673	866	1,446

Funding Under the State Homeland Security Grant program for FY2004

http://www.house.gov/maloney/issues/Homeland/Homeland_FY04_per_capita_rank.pdf

New York will receive \$5.47 per capita under the formula and by the discretion of DHS
Wyoming will receive \$38.31 per capita under the formula and by the discretion of DHS

ODP State Homeland Security Grant Program
Fiscal Year 2004 Funding Allocations

State Name	Population ¹	Total Allocation ²	Per Capita ³
Alabama	4,447,100	37,072,000	8.34
Alaska	626,932	19,581,000	31.23
Arizona	5,130,632	41,488,000	8.09
Arkansas	2,673,400	28,987,000	10.84
California	33,871,648	176,499,000	5.21
Colorado	4,301,261	37,164,000	8.64
Connecticut	3,405,565	32,403,000	9.51
Delaware	783,600	20,325,000	25.94
Dist. of Columbia	572,059	19,248,000	33.65
Florida	15,982,378	92,729,000	5.80
Georgia	8,186,453	55,617,000	6.79
Hawaii	1,211,537	22,317,000	18.42
Idaho	1,293,953	22,755,000	17.59
Illinois	12,419,293	74,009,000	5.96
Indiana	6,080,485	44,686,000	7.35
Iowa	2,926,324	30,018,000	10.26
Kansas	2,688,418	29,012,000	10.79
Kentucky	4,041,769	35,281,000	8.73
Louisiana	4,468,976	37,055,000	8.29
Maine	1,274,923	22,542,000	17.68
Maryland	5,296,486	41,495,000	7.83
Massachusetts	6,349,097	45,910,000	7.23
Michigan	9,938,444	62,400,000	6.28
Minnesota	4,919,479	39,500,000	8.03
Mississippi	2,844,658	29,723,000	10.45
Missouri	5,595,211	42,472,000	7.59
Montana	902,195	20,790,000	23.04
Nebraska	1,711,263	24,522,000	14.33
Nevada	1,998,257	26,543,000	13.28
New Hampshire	1,235,786	22,454,000	18.17
New Jersey	8,414,350	55,753,000	6.63
New Mexico	1,819,046	25,095,000	13.80
New York	18,976,457	103,855,000	5.47
North Carolina	8,049,313	54,524,000	6.77
North Dakota	642,200	19,536,000	30.42
Ohio	11,353,140	68,639,000	6.05
Oklahoma	3,450,654	32,553,000	9.43
Oregon	3,421,399	32,679,000	9.55
Pennsylvania	12,281,054	72,799,000	5.93
Rhode Island	1,048,319	21,519,000	20.53
South Carolina	4,012,012	35,346,000	8.81
South Dakota	754,844	20,114,000	26.65
Tennessee	5,689,283	43,040,000	7.57
Texas	20,851,820	115,793,000	5.55
Utah	2,233,169	27,194,000	12.18
Vermont	608,827	19,457,000	31.96
Virginia	7,078,515	49,851,000	7.04
Washington	5,894,121	44,276,000	7.51
West Virginia	1,808,344	24,852,000	13.74
Wisconsin	5,363,675	41,419,000	7.72
Wyoming	493,782	18,919,000	38.31
Puerto Rico	3,808,610	34,215,000	8.98
Virgin Islands	108,612	6,044,000	55.65
American Samoa	57,291	5,811,000	101.43
Guam	154,805	6,255,000	40.41
Northern Mariana Island	69,221	5,865,000	84.73
Totals	285,620,445	2,220,000,000	7.77 ²

¹ Based upon U.S. Census 2000

² Includes State Grant Allocation, LETPP Program and Citizens Corps

³ Dollars, Rounded to nearest penny

ODP State Homeland Security Grant Program
Fiscal Year 2004 Funding Allocations

State Name	Population ¹	Total Allocation ²	Per Capita ³
California	33,871,648	176,499,000	5.21
New York	18,976,457	103,855,000	5.47
Texas	20,851,820	115,793,000	5.55
Florida	15,982,378	92,729,000	5.80
Pennsylvania	12,281,054	72,799,000	5.93
Illinois	12,419,293	74,009,000	5.96
Ohio	11,353,140	68,639,000	6.05
Michigan	9,938,444	62,400,000	6.28
New Jersey	8,414,350	55,753,000	6.63
North Carolina	8,049,313	54,524,000	6.77
Georgia	8,186,453	55,617,000	6.79
Virginia	7,078,515	49,851,000	7.04
Massachusetts	6,349,097	45,910,000	7.23
Indiana	6,080,485	44,686,000	7.35
Washington	5,894,121	44,276,000	7.51
Tennessee	5,689,283	43,040,000	7.57
Missouri	5,595,211	42,472,000	7.59
Wisconsin	5,363,675	41,419,000	7.72
Maryland	5,296,486	41,495,000	7.83
Minnesota	4,919,479	39,500,000	8.03
Arizona	5,130,632	41,488,000	8.09
Louisiana	4,468,976	37,055,000	8.29
Alabama	4,447,100	37,072,000	8.34
Colorado	4,301,261	37,164,000	8.64
Kentucky	4,041,769	35,281,000	8.73
South Carolina	4,012,012	35,346,000	8.81
Puerto Rico	3,808,610	34,215,000	8.98
Oklahoma	3,450,654	32,553,000	9.43
Connecticut	3,405,565	32,403,000	9.51
Oregon	3,421,399	32,679,000	9.55
Iowa	2,926,324	30,018,000	10.26
Mississippi	2,844,658	29,723,000	10.45
Kansas	2,688,418	29,012,000	10.79
Arkansas	2,673,400	28,987,000	10.84
Utah	2,233,169	27,194,000	12.18
Nevada	1,998,257	26,543,000	13.28
West Virginia	1,808,344	24,852,000	13.74
New Mexico	1,819,046	25,095,000	13.80
Nebraska	1,711,263	24,522,000	14.33
Idaho	1,293,953	22,755,000	17.59
Maine	1,274,923	22,542,000	17.68
New Hampshire	1,235,786	22,454,000	18.17
Hawaii	1,211,537	22,317,000	18.42
Rhode Island	1,048,319	21,519,000	20.53
Montana	902,195	20,790,000	23.04
Delaware	783,600	20,325,000	25.94
South Dakota	754,844	20,114,000	26.65
North Dakota	642,200	19,536,000	30.42
Alaska	626,932	19,581,000	31.23
Vermont	608,827	19,457,000	31.96
Dist. of Columbia	572,059	19,248,000	33.65
Wyoming	493,782	18,919,000	38.31
Guam	154,805	6,255,000	40.41
Virgin Islands	108,612	6,044,000	55.65
Northern Mariana Island	69,221	5,865,000	84.73
American Samoa	57,291	5,811,000	101.43
Totals	285,620,445	2,220,000,000	7.77 ²

¹ Based upon U.S. Census 2000

² Includes State Grant Allocation, LETPP Program and Citizens Corps

³ Dollars, Rounded to nearest penny

3) After 9/11, Bush Administration Decides to Reduce from 15% to 5%, the Rate of Hazard Mitigation Aid New York is to Receive for Security Enhancements - Resulting in Loss of Aid to New York City in Hundreds of Millions of Dollars

Hazard Mitigation

Following disasters the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) provides funding known as “Hazardous Mitigation.” This funding is meant to mitigate against (or prevent) destruction in future disasters. In all declared disasters prior to 9/11 the percentage of hazardous mitigation funds provided to effected areas was always 15% more than the total FEMA funding provided. Hazardous mitigation funding for New York was set at 5%. Governor Pataki had requested the full 15%, but was denied. Under the Stafford Act, hazardous mitigation percentages are set by the President.

The 5% hazardous mitigation funding provides New York with \$422. These funds are being used for homeland security upgrades for the city. By not setting the funding percentage at 15%, New York is not receiving more than \$840 million the President could provide.

A list of percentage levels can be found at

http://www.house.gov/maloney/issues/Sept11/Mitigation_Percentage.pdf

Letter to the President regarding the hazardous mitigation percentage - February 4, 2003

http://www.house.gov/maloney/issues/Sept11/020403Bush_Mitigation.pdf

Letter to Governor Pataki regarding the hazardous mitigation percentage- January 24, 2003

http://www.house.gov/maloney/issues/Sept11/012403Mitigation_Pataki.pdf



Federal Emergency Management Agency

Washington, D.C. 20472

JUN 16 2003

Mr. Daniel P. Mulhollan
Director
Congressional Research Service
The Library of Congress
101 Independence Avenue S.E.
Washington, D.C. 20540-7000

Dear Mr. Mulhollan:

Thank you for your letter dated February 13, 2003, to the Federal Emergency Management Agency, which is now incorporated within the Department of Homeland Security. You wrote to request a report of Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP) obligations provided to each State in which a major disaster has been declared since the beginning of FY 1998 to include a State-by-State breakdown of the percentage of total declaration funds allowed under the Robert T. Stafford Act. In addition, you reference the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 (Code of Federal Regulations [CFR] 44, Subchapter D, Part 201 Mitigation Planning) legislation and the requirement of approved mitigation plans. Due to the nature of your letter it was referred to my office. I apologize for the delayed response.

We clarified your request in a telephone conversation with Mr. Keith Bea on April 10, 2003, in which Mr. Bea explained that by "obligation" you are asking us to provide the HMGP lock-in (ceiling). The 44 CFR, Part 206, Subpart N-Hazard Mitigation Grant Program, Section 206.432 (b) states, the total of Federal assistance under the HMGP, shall not exceed 15 or 20 percent of the total estimated Federal assistance (excluding administrative costs) provided for a major disaster. HMGP's estimate, also known as the ceiling, is established one year after the date of the declaration. Enclosed with this letter is the report as clarified detailing the HMGP lock-in (ceiling) funding for each State starting with FY 1998 through FY 2001.

Division K, Sec. 417, of the Consolidated Appropriations Resolution, 2003, P.L. 108-7, amended Section 404 of the Stafford Act to change the maximum amount of HMGP funds available after a disaster from 15 percent to 7.5 percent of other Stafford Act assistance available for a major disaster declaration. This was passed into law on February 20, 2003.

Since February 20, 2003, there have been seventeen declarations that included the HMGP 7.5 percent rate; however, the ceiling has not been established for these disasters at this time.

During the conversation on April 10, 2003, Mr. Bea also referenced the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000, Mitigation Planning. To qualify for the 20 percent, a State must have an approved Enhanced State Mitigation Plan in effect prior to the disaster declaration. At this time, none of the States have an Approved Enhanced Mitigation Plan.

I hope this information is helpful to you. Should you have any further questions, please have a member of your staff contact our Office of Legislative Affairs at (202) 646-4500.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Allan' or similar, written in a cursive style.

Anthony S. Lowe
Director
Mitigation Division
Emergency Preparedness
and Response Directorate

Enclosure

Financial and Lock-In Percent Disaster Information
For Disasters Declared on or After October 1, 1997 through September 30, 2001

By State

State	Fiscal Year 1998 thru 2001	Total Ceiling	Lock-In Percentage	
AK	FY 2000	\$1,621,696	15%	
AL	FY 1998	\$14,595,666	15%	
AL	FY 1999	\$1,170,105	15%	
AL	FY 2000	\$1,304,578	15%	
AL	FY 2001	\$1,447,680	15%	
AR	FY 1999	\$3,956,104	15%	
AR	FY 2001	\$31,299,277	15%	
AZ	FY 2000	\$595,717	15%	
AZ	FY 2001	\$1,672,457	15%	
CA	FY 1998	\$29,903,846	15%	
CA	FY 1999	\$4,150,664	15%	
CA	FY 2000	\$1,222,300	15%	
CO	FY 1999	\$1,158,596	15%	
CO	FY 2001	\$716,326	15%	
CT	FY 1999	\$287,521	15%	
DC	FY 2000	\$144,229	15%	
DC	FY 2001	\$837,621	15%	
DE	FY 1998	\$294,298	15%	
DE	FY 1999	\$770,998	15%	
FL	FY 1998	\$36,829,478	15%	
FL	FY 1999	\$6,413,914	15%	
FL	FY 2000	\$31,218,045	15%	
FL	FY 2001	\$112,571,141	15%	
FM	FY 1998	\$274,967	15%	
GA	FY 1998	\$9,114,309	15%	
GA	FY 1999	\$494,947	15%	
GA	FY 2000	\$6,258,086	15%	
GU	FY 1998	\$15,418,020	15%	
HI	FY 2000	\$1,433,168	15%	
IA	FY 1998	\$6,578,680	15%	
IA	FY 1999	\$5,022,020	15%	
IA	FY 2001	\$1,880,921	15%	

Financial and Lock-In Percent Disaster Information
For Disasters Declared on or After October 1, 1997 through September 30, 2001

By State

ID	FY 2000	\$13,056	15%	
IL	FY 1999	\$124,386	15%	
IL	FY 2001	\$1,061,394	15%	
IN	FY 1998	\$1,492,634	15%	
KS	FY 1999	\$5,563,094	15%	
KS	FY 2000	\$458,693	15%	
KS	FY 2001	\$524,822	15%	
KY	FY 1998	\$5,106,031	15%	
KY	FY 2000	\$2,068,220	15%	
KY	FY 2001	\$3,016,258	15%	
LA	FY 1998	\$7,197,142	15%	
LA	FY 1999	\$2,025,740	15%	
LA	FY 2000	\$406,897	15%	
LA	FY 2001	\$16,601,028	15%	
MA	FY 1998	\$1,704,470	15%	
MA	FY 2001	\$1,620,166	15%	
MD	FY 1999	\$1,098,117	15%	
MD	FY 2000	\$1,512,454	15%	
ME	FY 1998	\$6,654,881	15%	
ME	FY 1999	\$235,410	15%	
ME	FY 2000	\$245,387	15%	
ME	FY 2001	\$207,802	15%	
MH	FY 1998	\$1,172,493	15%	
MI	FY 1998	\$5,085,791	15%	
MI	FY 2000	\$42,292,266	15%	
MN	FY 1998	\$9,358,134	15%	
MN	FY 1999	\$4,520,254	15%	
MN	FY 2000	\$4,992,041	15%	
MN	FY 2001	\$7,235,413	15%	
MO	FY 1999	\$3,098,417	15%	
MO	FY 2000	\$902,018	15%	
MP	FY 1998	\$1,968,581	15%	
MS	FY 1999	\$13,071,263	15%	
MS	FY 2001	\$5,618,974	15%	

Financial and Lock-In Percent Disaster Information
For Disasters Declared on or After October 1, 1997 through September 30, 2001

By State

MT	FY 2000	\$393,757	15%	
MT	FY 2001	\$695,285	15%	
NC	FY 1998	\$4,518,779	15%	
NC	FY 1999	\$82,786,258	15%	
NC	FY 2000	\$4,080,498	15%	
ND	FY 1998	\$2,157,723	15%	
ND	FY 1999	\$12,944,366	15%	
ND	FY 2000	\$121,215,355	15%	
ND	FY 2001	\$4,963,587	15%	
NE	FY 1998	\$4,924,633	15%	
NE	FY 1999	\$729,330	15%	
NE	FY 2001	\$406,678	15%	
NH	FY 1998	\$2,175,609	15%	
NH	FY 2000	\$90,399	15%	
NJ	FY 1998	\$501,148	15%	
NJ	FY 1999	\$9,906,414	15%	
NJ	FY 2000	\$942,439	15%	
NM	FY 1998	\$289,239	15%	
NM	FY 1999	\$315,873	15%	
NM	FY 2000	\$2,503,028	15%	
NV	FY 1999	\$913,318	15%	
NY	FY 1998	\$9,216,299	15%	
NY	FY 1999	\$5,011,192	15%	
NY	FY 2000	\$3,388,804	15%	
NY	FY 2001	\$422,852,331	5%	
OH	FY 1998	\$6,069,540	15%	
OH	FY 2000	\$2,181,398	15%	
OH	FY 2001	\$1,103,635	15%	
OK	FY 1999	\$13,099,997	15%	
OK	FY 2001	\$29,303,135	15%	
OR	FY 1998	330,387	15%	
PA	FY 1998	\$420,999	15%	
PA	FY 1999	\$4,774,441	15%	
PA	FY 2001	1,802,378	15%	
PR	FY 1998	\$221,918,026	15%	

Financial and Lock-In Percent Disaster Information
For Disasters Declared on or After October 1, 1997 through September 30, 2001

By State

PR	FY 2001	\$2,285,588	15%	
RI		NULL		
SC	FY 1998	\$647,091	15%	
SC	FY 1999	\$6,776,073	15%	
SC	FY 2000	\$1,383,540	15%	
SD	FY 1998	\$1,313,781	15%	
SD	FY 1999	\$2,214,385	15%	
SD	FY 2000	\$347,936	15%	
SD	FY 2001	\$919,498	15%	
TN	FY 1998	\$7,603,259	15%	
TN	FY 1999	\$4,520,859	15%	
TN	FY 2000	\$452,908	15%	
TN	FY 2001	\$910,764	15%	
TX	FY 1998	\$6,973,772	15%	
TX	FY 1999	\$26,159,205	15%	
TX	FY 2000	\$710,805	15%	
TX	FY 2001	\$243,100,657	15%	
UT	FY 1999	\$86,350	15%	
VA	FY 1998	\$1,626,281	15%	
VA	FY 1999	\$4,839,104	15%	
VA	FY 2000	\$2,086,048	15%	
VA	FY 2001	\$3,807,264	15%	
VI	FY 1998	\$996,958	15%	
VI	FY 2000	\$1,828,571	15%	
VT	FY 1998	\$2,569,439	15%	
VT	FY 2000	\$475,147	15%	
VT	FY 2001	\$88,659	15%	
WA	FY 1999	\$1,127,964	15%	
WA	FY 2001	\$20,011,499	15%	
WA			15%	
WI	FY 1998	\$4,952,668	15%	
WI	FY 1999	\$641,888	15%	
WI	FY 2000	\$3,454,058	15%	
WI	FY 2001	\$4,185,766	15%	
WV	FY 1998	\$2,031,235	15%	
WV	FY 2000	\$1,433,169	15%	
WV	FY 2001	\$12,886,414	15%	

**Financial and Lock-In Percent Disaster Information
For Disasters Declared on or After October 1, 1997 through September 30, 2001**

By State

WV			15%	
WY	FY 1999	\$120,352	15%	
WY	FY 2001	\$104,956	15%	
The following States will receive the 7.5% funding:				
AK	FY 2003	No Ceiling Established		
AL	FY 2003	No Ceiling Established		
FL	FY 2003	No Ceiling Established		
IL	FY 2003	No Ceiling Established		
KS	FY 2003	No Ceiling Established		
KY	FY 2003	No Ceiling Established		
ME	FY2003	No Ceiling Established		
OK	FY 2003	No Ceiling Established		
MO	FY 2003	No Ceiling Established		
MS	FY 2003	No Ceiling Established		
NC	FY 2003	No Ceiling Established		
NY	FY 2003	No Ceiling Established		
OH	FY 2003	No Ceiling Established		
TN	FY 2003	No Ceiling Established		* Note: The report reflects the amount per year in which disasters were declared from October 1, 1997, FY 1998 to September 30, 2001, FY 2001.
TN	FY2003	No Ceiling Established		
VA	FY 2003	No Ceiling Established		
WV	FY 2003	No Ceiling Established		** Note: In the State of New York for FY 2001, HMCP was set at 8% by the President.

Congresswoman Maloney's Press Releases on Homeland Security Funding

May 19, 2004 - [U.S. Rep. Maloney Response to 9/11 Commission Today: "NYC Needs to Stop Being Shortchanged By Congress AND The White House"](#)

February 23, 2004 - [NATION'S BIG CITY FIREFIGHTERS BATTLING TERRORISM SHORTHANDED](#)

February 12, 2004 - [IT'S OFFICIAL: PRESIDENT'S BUDGET PROPOSAL CUTS \\$2.3 BILLION FROM FIRST RESPONDERS](#)

January 30, 2004 - [WILL INCREASE FOR HOMELAND MEAN REVERSAL OF CUTS FOR HIGH-THREAT CITIES?](#)

November 13, 2003 - [SWINDLED AGAIN! RIDGE CUTS HIGH-THREAT HOMELAND SECURITY GRANTS FOR NYC BY 69%](#)

November 3, 2003 - [TASK FORCE CHAIR REACTS TO RIDGE'S ANNOUNCEMENT OF GRANTS: Why isn't he getting funds where the threat is?](#)

September 24, 2003 - [HOMELAND SECURITY FUNDING BILL OFF TARGET](#)

July 17, 2003 - [MEMBERS OF CONGRESS TO ADMINISTRATION: HELP US PROTECT HIGH-THREAT CITIES](#)

June 24, 2003 - [HOMETOWN SECURITY WORKERS TO CONGRESS: WE CAN'T DO IT ALONE](#)

June 24, 2003 - [MALONEY'S HOMELAND SECURITY AMENDMENT URGES FUNDING FAIRNESS FOR HIGH-THREAT CITIES](#)